1 2 3 4	EILEEN M. DECKER United States Attorney LEON W. WEIDMAN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division THOMAS K. BUCK (Cal. Bar No. 70307) Assistant United States Attorney			
5	Federal Building, Suite 7516 300 North Los Angeles Street			
6	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-3989 Facsimile: (213) 894-7819			
7	E-mail: tom.buck@usdoj.gov			
8	Attorneys for Defendant United States of America			
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12		ERN DIVISION		
13	NADINE HAYS,	No. CV 12-8585	DMG(PJWx)	
14	Plaintiff,			
15	V.	Hearing Date: Hearing Time:	none scheduled none scheduled	
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Ctrm:	none scheduled	
17	Defendant.	Honorable Dolly M. Gee, United States District Judge		
18				
19				
20	DEFENDANT UNITED STATES' OPPOSITION TO			
21 22	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REOPEN			
23				
24				
25				
26				
20 27				
28				
20				

In her motion of August 5, 2015, Plaintiff Nadine Hays seeks to reopen a 2012 1 2 complaint that the Court dismissed on February 14, 2013 for failure to exhaust 3 administrative remedies under the Federal Tort Claims Act ("FTCA") (28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2671 - 2680). Plaintiff's motion to reopen fails because her alleged exhaustion 4 5 of administrative remedies occurred after the she had filed her complaint and thus does not satisfy the FTCA's administrative remedy requirement. 6 In McNeil v.United States, 508 U.S. 106, 113 S.Ct. 1980 (1993) a unanimous 7 8 Supreme Court held that the FTCA's administrative remedies requirement must be 9 exhausted before commencing suit and that the petitioner, McNeil, could not rely upon 10 exhaustion occurring after commencing his suit. Id., at 110-113; see Sparrow v.United 11 States Postal Service, 825 F.Supp. 252 (E.D.CA 1993) (relying upon McNeil in holding 12 that the jurisdictional flaw of filing an FTCA complaint before the exhaustion of 13 administrative remedies could not be cured by amending the complaint and that, 14 therefore, it was necessary for Plaintiff to file a new complaint.) 15 Plaintiff argues that this Court dismissed her 2012 complaint without prejudice 16 and that she should therefore be permitted to reopen. However, as discussed above, 17 reopening is precluded by the FTCA's administrative remedy requirement and the 18 holding of McNeil. 19 Defendant United States respectfully submits that should deny Plaintiff's motion 20 to reopen. Dated: September 4, 2015 Respectfully submitted, 21 EILEEN M. DECKER 22 United States Attorney LEON W. WEIDMAN 23 Assistant United States Attorney 24 Chief, Civil Division 25 /s/ Thomas K. Buck 26 Assistant United States Attorney 27 Attorneys for Defendant 28 United States of America